arXiv:0812.2553v1 [math.NT] 13 Dec 2008

NOTE ON DEDEKIND TYPE DC SUMS

Taekyun Kim

Division of General Education-Mathematics,
Kwangwoon University, Seoul 139-701, S. Korea
e-mail: tkkim@kw.ac.kr

Abstract. In this paper we study the Euler polynomials and functions and derive some interesting formulae related to the Euler polynomials and functions. From those formulae we consider Dedekind type DC(Daehee-Changhee)sums and prove reciprocity laws related to DC sums.

1. Introduction/Preliminaries

The Euler numbers are defined as

$$\frac{2}{e^t+1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}E_n\frac{t^n}{n!},\ |t|<\pi,\ \text{(see [1-31])}, \tag{1}$$

and the Euler polynomials are also defined as

$$\frac{2}{e^t+1}e^{xt}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}E_n(x)\frac{t^n}{n!},\ |t|<\pi,\ \text{(see [4, 5, 6])}. \tag{2}$$

The first few of Euler numbers are $1, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{4}$, and $E_{2k}=0$ for $k=1,2,3,\cdots$. From (1) and (2), we can easily derive the following.

$$E_n(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l}E_l x^{n-l},\ \text{where}\ \binom{n}{l}=\frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-l+1)}{l!},\ \text{(see [4, 5, 6])}. \tag{3}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 11S80, 11B68
Key words and phrases: Dedekind sum, Hardy sum, Euler polynomials, DC sum

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

In (1), it is easy to see that $E_0 = 1$, $E_n(1) + E_n = 2\delta_{0,n}$, where $\delta_{0,n}$ is the Kronecker symbol. That is, $E_n(1) = -E_n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, (see [4, 5]). We denote $\bar{E}_n(x)$ the n-th Euler function given by the Fourier expansion.

$$\bar{E}_n(x) = m!2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{(2n+1)\pi i x}}{((2n+1)\pi i)^{m+1}}, \text{ (see [4,5])},$$

which, for $0 \leq x < 1$, reduces the n-th Euler polynomials.

By (3), we easily see that

$$\frac{dE_n(x)}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} = n \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-1}{k} E_k x^{n-1-k} = nE_{n-1}(x). \tag{4}$$

From (4), we note that

$$\int_0^x E_n(t)dt = \frac{1}{n+1} E_{n+1}(x), \text{ (see [4])}. \tag{5}$$

By the definitions of the Euler numbers and the Euler polynomials, we easily see that

$$2\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^k e^{kt} = 2\frac{(-1)^n e^{nt} + 1}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^n E_l(n) + E_l\right) \frac{t^l}{l!}. \tag{6}$$

Thus, we have

$$2\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^k k^l = (-1)^n E_l(n) + E_l. \tag{7}$$

It is well known that the classical Dedekind sums $S(h,k)$ first arose in the transformation formula of the logarithm of Dedekind eta-function (see [17, 25, 26, 28]). If h and k are relative prime integers with $k > 0$, then Dedekind sum is defined as

$$S(h,k) = \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} ((\frac{u}{k}))((\frac{hu}{k})), \text{ (see [17, 25, 26, 28])} \tag{8}$$

where $((x))$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} ((x)) &= x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}, \text{ if } x \text{ is not an integer,} \\ &= 0, \text{ otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

where $[x]$ is the largest integer $\leq x$, (cf. [17]).

Generalized Dedekind sums $S_p(h,k)$ are defined as

$$S_p(h,k)=\sum_{a=1}^{k-1}\frac{a}{k}\bar{B}_p(\frac{ah}{k}),\ \text{(see [1, 17, 18, 25, 26, 28, 31])}, \tag{9}$$

where h and k are relative prime positive integers and $\bar{B}_p(x)$ are the p-th Bernoulli functions, which are defined as

$$\bar{B}_p(x)=B_p(x-[x])=-p!(2\pi i)^{-p}\sum_{m=-\infty,m\neq 0}^{\infty}m^{-p}e^{2\pi inx},\ \text{(see [17, 25, 26])},$$

where $B_p(x)$ are the p-th ordinary Bernoulli polynomials.

Recently Y. Simsek have studies q-Dedekind type sums related to q-zeta function and basic L-series (see [31, 18]). He also studies q-Hardy-Berndt type sums associated with q-Genocchi type zeta and q-l-functions related to previous author's paper (see [18, 31]). In this paper we consider Dedekind type DC(Daehee-Changhee) sums as follows.

$$T_p(h,k)=2\sum_{u=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{u-1}\frac{u}{k}\bar{E}_p(\frac{hu}{k}),\ (\ h\in\mathbb{Z}_+\ ),$$

where $\bar{E}_p(x)$ are the p-the Euler functions. Note that $T_p(h,k)$ is the similar form of generalized Dedekind type sums. Finally, we prove the following reciprocity law for an odd p:

$$\begin{aligned}&k^pT_p(h,k)+h^pT_p(k,h)\\&=2\sum_{\substack{u=0\\ u-[\frac{hu}{k}]\equiv 1 \mod 2}}^{k-1}\left(kh(E+\frac{u}{k})+k(E+h-[\frac{hu}{k}])\right)^p+(hE+kE)^p+(p+2)E_p,\end{aligned}$$

where h,k are relative prime positive integers and

$$(Eh+Ek)^{n+1}=\sum_{l=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{l}E_lh^lE_{n+1-l}k^{n+1-l}.$$

2. On the reciprocity law for Dedekind type DC sums

In this section, we assume $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \equiv 1 \mod 2$. By the definition of the Euler polynomials, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(x+y) &= \sum_{s=0}^{p} \binom{p}{s}(x+y)^{p-s}E_s = \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}E_s \sum_{k=0}^{p-s}\binom{p-s}{k}x^k y^{p-s-k} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}\sum_{j=0}^{s}\binom{s}{j}E_j x^{s-j}y^{p-s} = \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}E_s(x)y^{p-s}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

From (2), we can also derive

$$E_p(mx) = m^p \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} E_p(x+\frac{s}{m})(-1)^s. \tag{11}$$

By (5), we easily see that

$$\int_0^1 xE_p(x)dx = \frac{E_{p+1}(1)}{p+1} - \frac{E_{p+1}(1)}{p+1} + \frac{E_{p+1}}{p+1} = \frac{E_{p+1}}{p+1} = 0, \tag{12}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 xE_p(x)dx = \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}E_s\int_0^1 x^{p-s+1}dx = \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}\frac{E_s}{p-s+2}. \tag{13}$$

By (12) and (13), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *For $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \equiv 1 \mod 2$, we have*

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}\frac{E_s}{p-s+2} = \frac{E_{p+1}}{p+1} = 0.$$

For $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \equiv 0 \mod 2$ and $s < p$, we have

$$\frac{d^s(xE_p(x))}{(dx)^s}\Big|_{x=1} = s!\binom{p}{s}E_{p-s}(1) = -s!\binom{p}{s}E_{p-s}, \tag{14}$$

and, from (3), we note that

$$\frac{d^s(xE_p(x))}{(dx)^s}\Big|_{x=1} = s!\sum_{v=0}^{p-s}\binom{p-v+1}{s}\binom{p}{v}E_v = s!\sum_{v=0}^{p}\binom{p}{v}\binom{p-v+1}{s}E_v. \tag{15}$$

By (14) and (15), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *For* $s \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $s \equiv 0 \mod 2$ *and* $s > p$, *we have*

$$\sum_{v=0}^{p} \binom{p}{v} \binom{p-v+1}{s} E_v = -\binom{p}{s} E_{p-s} = \binom{p}{s} E_{p-s}(1). \tag{16}$$

Let us define Dedekind type DC sums as follows.

$$T_p(h,k) = 2\sum_{u=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{u-1} \frac{u}{k} \bar{E}_p(\frac{hu}{k}),\ (\ h \in \mathbb{Z}_+), \tag{17}$$

where $\bar{E}_p(x)$ is the p-th Euler function.

For $m \equiv 1 \mod 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_p(1,m) &= 2\sum_{u=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{u-1} \frac{u}{m} \sum_{v=0}^{p} \binom{p}{v} E_v \left(\frac{u}{m}\right)^{p-v} \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{p} \binom{p}{v} E_v m^{-(p+1-v)} 2\sum_{u=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{u-1} u^{p-v+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

By (7) and (18), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *For* $m \equiv 1 \mod 2$, *we have*

$$T_p(1,m) = \sum_{v=0}^{p} \binom{p}{v} E_v m^{-(p+1-v)} \left(E_{p-v+1}(m) - E_{p-v+1}\right). \tag{19}$$

From (3) we can also derive

$$E_{p-v+1}(m) - E_{p-v+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-v} \binom{p-v+1}{i} m^{p+1-v-i} E_i \tag{20}$$

so that we find

$$\begin{aligned} T_p(1,m) &= \sum_{v=0}^{p} \binom{p}{v} m^{-(p+1-v)} E_v \sum_{i=0}^{p-v} \binom{p-v+1}{i} m^{p+1-v-i} E_i \\ &= \frac{1}{m^p} \sum_{v=0}^{p} \binom{p}{v} E_v \sum_{i=0}^{p-v} \binom{p-v+1}{i} E_i m^{p-i}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *For $m \equiv 1 \mod 2$, we have*

$$m^p T_p(1,m) = \sum_{v=0}^{p} \binom{p}{v} E_v \sum_{i=0}^{p-v} \binom{p-v+1}{i} E_i m^{p-i}. \tag{22}$$

Interchanging the order of summation in (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m^p T_p(1,m) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p} \sum_{v=0}^{p-i} \binom{p}{v} E_v \binom{p-v+1}{i} E_i m^{p-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \sum_{v=0}^{p-i} \binom{p}{v} E_v \binom{p-v+1}{i} E_i m^{p-i} \\ &\quad + \binom{p+1}{p} E_p + \sum_{v=0}^{p} \binom{p}{v} E_v m^p + \sum_{v=0}^{1} \binom{p}{v} E_v \binom{p-v+1}{p-1} E_{p-1} m \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \sum_{v=0}^{p-i} \binom{p}{v} E_v \binom{p-v+1}{i} E_i m^{p-i} + (p+1)E_p + \sum_{v=0}^{p} \binom{p}{v} E_v m^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5. *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \equiv 1 \mod 2$, we have*

$$m^p T_p(1,m) = \sum_{v=0}^{p} \binom{p}{v} E_v m^p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \sum_{v=0}^{p-i} \binom{p}{v} E_v \binom{p-v+1}{i} E_i m^{p-i} + (p+1)E_p. \tag{23}$$

In the sum over i, the only non-vanishing terms are those for which the index i is odd. Hence, since $i < p$ in this sum we may use (3) and Theorem 2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m^p T_p(1,m) &= m^p E_p(1) + (p+1)E_p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \binom{p}{i} E_{p-i}(1) E_i m^{p-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p} \binom{p}{i} E_{p-i}(1) E_i m^{p-i} + pE_p. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *For odd p with $p > 1$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $m \equiv 1 \mod 2$, we have*

$$m^p T_p(1,m) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \binom{p}{i} E_{p-i}(1) E_i m^{p-i} + pE_p.$$

Now we employ the symbolic notation as $E_n(x) = (E+x)^n$. It is easy to show that

(25)

$$k^p\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^u\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^sE_s(\frac{u}{k})E_{p-s}(h-[\frac{hu}{k}]) = k^p\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^u\left(h(E+\frac{u}{k})+(E+h-[\frac{hu}{k}])\right)^p$$

$$= k^p\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^u\left(Eh+E+h+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+huk^{-1}-[\frac{hu}{k}]\right)^p$$

$$= k^p\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^u\left(Eh+E+h+\frac{1}{2}+\bar{E}_1(\frac{hu}{k})\right)^p.$$

Now as the index u range through the values $u = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, k-1$, the product hu range through a complete residue system modulo k since $(h,k) = 1$ and due to the periodically of $\bar{E}_1(x)$, the term $\bar{E}_1(\frac{hu}{k})$ may be replaced $\bar{E}_1(\frac{u}{k})$ without alternating the sum over u. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $k \equiv 1 \mod 2$, we have

$$(25) = k^p\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^u\left(E+Eh+h+\frac{1}{2}+\bar{E}_1(\frac{u}{k})\right)^p = k^p\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^u\left((E+\frac{u}{k})+h(E+1)\right)^p$$

$$= k^p\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^u\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}E_s(\frac{u}{k})h^{p-s}E_{p-s}(1)$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}k^{p-s}\left(k^s\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^uE_s(\frac{u}{k})\right)h^{p-s}E_{p-s}(1) = \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}k^{p-s}E_sh^{p-s}E_{p-s}(1).$$

Therefore, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 7. *Let h, k be natural numbers with $(h,k) = 1$. For odd p with $p > 1$, and $k \equiv 1 \mod 2$, we have*

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}k^{p-s}E_sh^{p-s}E_{p-s}(1) = k^p\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^u\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^sE_s(\frac{u}{k})E_{p-s}(h-[\frac{hu}{k}]).$$

Let T be the sum of

$$\begin{aligned} T &= k^pT_p(h,k)+h^pT(k,h) \\ &= 2k^p\sum_{u=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{u-1}\frac{u}{k}\bar{E}_p(\frac{hu}{k})+2h^p\sum_{v=0}^{h-1}(-1)^{v-1}\frac{v}{h}\bar{E}_p(\frac{kv}{h}). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

We assume first that $p > 1$ and $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $h \equiv 1 \mod 2$, and $k \equiv 1 \mod 2$.

$$\bar{E}_p(\frac{h}{k}u) = h^p \sum_{v=0}^{h-1} (-1)^v \bar{E}_p(\frac{u}{k} + \frac{v}{h}), \tag{27}$$

and

$$\bar{E}_p(k\frac{v}{h}) = k^p \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} (-1)^u \bar{E}_p(\frac{v}{h} + \frac{u}{k}).$$

From (26) and (27), we can easily derive the following (28).

$$\begin{aligned} T &= (hk)^p 2 \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{u-1} \frac{u}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{h-1} (-1)^v \bar{E}_p(\frac{u}{k} + \frac{v}{h}) \\ &+ (hk)^p 2 \sum_{v=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{v-1} \frac{v}{h} \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} (-1)^u \bar{E}_p(\frac{v}{h} + \frac{u}{k}) \\ &= (hk)^p 2 \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} \sum_{v=0}^{h-1} (-1)^{u+v-1} \left(\frac{uh+vk}{hk} \right) E_p(\frac{u}{k} + \frac{v}{h}). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *Let $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $h \equiv 1 \mod 2$ and $k \equiv 1 \mod 2$. For $p > 1$, we have*

$$k^p T_p(h,k) + h^p T(k,h) = 2(hk)^p \sum_{u=0}^{k-1} \sum_{v=0}^{h-1} (-1)^{u+v-1} (uh+vk)(hk)^{-1} E_p(\frac{u}{k} + \frac{v}{h}).$$

Now as the indices u and v run through the range $u = 0, 1, 2, cdots, k-1$, $v = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, h-1$, respectively, the linear combination $uh+vk$ ranges through a complete residue system modulo hk, and each term $uh+vk$ satisfies the inequalities $0 \le uh+vk < 2hk$. If we define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} &A = \{uh+vk | 0 \le uh+vk < hk\}, B = \{uh+vk | hk+1 \le uh+vk < 2hk\}, \\ &C = \{\lambda | 0 \le \lambda \le hk-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $h \equiv 1 \mod 2$ and $k \equiv 1 \mod 2$. From (28), we note that

$$T = (hk)^p \left(2\sum_{\lambda\in A} \frac{\lambda}{hk}(-1)^{\lambda-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{\lambda}{hk}) + 2\sum_{\lambda\in B} \frac{\lambda}{hk}(-1)^{\lambda-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{\lambda}{hk}) \right). \tag{29}$$

Now if $y \in B$, then $y = hk + \lambda$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, but $\lambda \notin A$ (for if $\lambda \in A$ then we have $\lambda \equiv y \mod hk$), but $A \bigcup B$ forms a complete residue system modulo hk. Hence, we have

$$2\sum_{y\in B} \frac{y}{hk}(-1)^{y-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{y}{hk}) = 2\sum_{\lambda\in C\setminus A} (-1)^{\lambda-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{\lambda}{hk}) + 2\sum_{\lambda\in C\setminus A} \frac{\lambda}{hk}(-1)^{\lambda-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{\lambda}{hk}). \tag{30}$$

By (29) and (30), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} T &= (hk)^p\{2\sum_{\lambda\in A} \frac{\lambda}{hk}(-1)^{\lambda-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{\lambda}{hk}) + 2\sum_{\lambda\in C\setminus A} (-1)^{\lambda-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{\lambda}{hk}) \\ &+ 2\sum_{\lambda\in C\setminus A} \frac{\lambda}{hk}(-1)^{\lambda-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{\lambda}{hk})\} \\ &= (hk)^p\{2\sum_{\lambda=0}^{hk-1} (-1)^{\lambda-1}\frac{\lambda}{hk}\bar{E}_p(\frac{\lambda}{hk}) + 2\sum_{\lambda=0}^{hk-1} (-1)^{\lambda-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{\lambda}{hk}) \\ &- 2\sum_{\substack{u=0 \\ 0\le uh+vk<hk}}^{k-1} \sum_{v=0}^{h-1} (-1)^{u+v-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{uh+vk}{hk})\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$2\sum_{\lambda=0}^{hk-1} \bar{E}_p(\frac{\lambda}{hk})(-1)^{\lambda-1} = 2(hk)^{-p}\bar{E}_p(0) = 2(hk)^{-p}E_p.$$

Hence, we have

$$T = (hk)^p \left(T_p(1,kh) + 2(hk)^{-p}E_p - S\right), \tag{31}$$

where

$$S = 2 \sum_{\substack{0\le u\le k-1\\ 0\le uh+vk<hk}} \sum_{0\le v\le h-1} (-1)^{u+v-1}\bar{E}_p(\frac{uh+vk}{hk})$$
$$= 2 \sum_{\substack{0\le u\le k-1\\ 0\le \frac{u}{k}+\frac{v}{h}<1}} \sum_{0\le v\le h-1} (-1)^{u+v-1}E_p(\frac{u}{k}+\frac{v}{h}).$$

From the definition of S, we note that

$$S = 2\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}\sum_{v=0}^{[h-\frac{hu}{k}]}(-1)^{u+v-1}E_p(\frac{u}{k}+\frac{v}{h}) = 2\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}\sum_{v=0}^{[h-\frac{hu}{k}]}(-1)^{u+v-1}\left(E+\frac{u}{k}+\frac{v}{h}\right)^p$$
$$= 2\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^{s-p}\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{u-1}E_s(\frac{u}{k})\sum_{v=0}^{[h-\frac{hu}{k}]}(-1)^v v^{p-s}$$
$$= \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^{s-p}\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{u-1}E_s(\frac{u}{k})\left(2\sum_{v=0}^{h-1-[\frac{hu}{k}]}(-1)^v v^{p-s}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^{s-p}\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{u-1}E_s(\frac{u}{k})\left((-1)^{h-[\frac{hu}{k}]}E_{p-s}(h-[\frac{hu}{k}])+E_{p-s}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^{s-p}\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{u-[\frac{hu}{k}]}E_s(\frac{u}{k})E_{p-s}(h-[\frac{hu}{k}]) + \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^{s-p}\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{u-1}E_s(\frac{u}{k})E_{p-s}$$
$$= \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^{s-p}\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{u-[\frac{hu}{k}]}E_s(\frac{u}{k})E_{p-s}(h-[\frac{hu}{k}]) - h^{-p}\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^s k^{-s}E_{p-s}E_s.$$

Returning to (31), we have

$$T = (hk)^p\big\{T_p(1,kh) + 2(kh)^{-p}E_p - \sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^{s-p}\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{u-[\frac{hu}{k}]}E_{p-s}(h-[\frac{hu}{k}])E_s(\frac{u}{k})$$
$$+ h^{-p}\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^s k^{-s}E_{p-s}E_s\big\}.$$

By Theorem 6 we see that

$$T=\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}E_sE_{p-s}(1)(hk)^{p-s}-\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^sk^p\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{u-[\frac{hu}{k}]}E_s(\frac{u}{k})E_{p-s}(h-[\frac{hu}{k}])$$
$$+(p+2)E_p+\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^sk^{p-s}E_sE_{p-s}.$$

From Theorem 7, we can also derive the following equation (32).

$$\begin{aligned}T&=\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}k^ph^s\sum_{u=0}^{k-1}E_s(\frac{u}{k})E_{p-s}(h-[\frac{hu}{k}])(1-(-1)^{u-[\frac{hu}{k}]})\\&+\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^sk^{p-s}E_sE_{p-s}+(p+2)E_p\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 9. *Let* $h,k\in\mathbb{N}$ *with* $h\equiv 1 \mod 2$ *and* $k\equiv 1 \mod 2$ *and let* $(h,k)=1$. *For* $p>1$, *we have*

$$k^pT_p(h,k)+h^pT_p(k,h)$$
$$=2\sum_{\substack{u=0\\u-[\frac{hu}{k}]\equiv 1(\mod 2)}}^{k-1}\left(kh(E+\frac{u}{k})+k(E+h-[\frac{hu}{k}])\right)^p+(hE+kE)^p+(p+2)E_p,$$

where

$$(hE+kE)^p=\sum_{s=0}^{p}\binom{p}{s}h^sE_sk^{p-s}E_{p-s}.$$

References

1. T. M. Apostol, *Generalized Dedekind sums and transformation formulae of certain Lambert series*, Duke Math. J. **17** (1950), 147-157.
2. M. Cenkci, M. Can, V. Kurt, *p-adic interpolation functions and Kummer-type congruences for q-twisted and q-generalized twisted Euler numbers*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **9** (2004), 203-216.
3. G. Kim, B. Kim, J. Choi, *The DC algorithm for computing sums of powers of consecutive integers and Bernoulli numbers*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **17** (2008), 137-145.

4. T. Kim, *Note on the Euler numbers and polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **17** (2008), 131-136.
5. T. Kim, *Euler Numbers and Polynomials Associated with Zeta Functions*, Abstract and Applied Analysis **2008** (2008), Article ID 581582, 11 pages.
6. T. Kim, *q-Euler numbers and polynomials associated with p-adic q-integrals*, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics **14** (2007), 15-27.
7. T. Kim, *q-Volkenborn Integration*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **9** (2002), 288-299.
8. T. Kim, *q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials associated with Gaussian binomial coefficients*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **15** (2008), 51-57.
9. T. Kim, *q-extension of the Euler formula and trigonometric functions*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **14** (2007), 275-278.
10. T. Kim, J. y. Choi, J. Y. Sug, *Extended q-Euler numbers and polynomials associated with fermionic p-adic q-integral on* $\mathbb{Z}_p$, Russian J. Math. Phys. **14** (2007), 160-163.
11. T. Kim, *q-generalized Euler numbers and polynomials*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **13** (2006), 293-298.
12. T. Kim, *Multiple p-adic L-function*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **13** (2006), 151-157.
13. T. Kim, *Power series and asymptotic series associated with the q-analog of the two-variable p-adic L-function*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **12** (2005), 186-196.
14. T. Kim, *On a q-analogue of the p-adic log gamma functions and related integrals*, J. Number Theory **76** (1999), 320-329.
15. T. Kim, *On Euler-Barnes multiple zeta functions*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **10** (2003), 261-267.
16. T. Kim, *The modified q-Euler numbers and polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **16** (2008), 161-170.
17. Y. Simsek, *Relations between theta-functions Hardy sums Eisenstein and Lambert series in the transformation formula of* $\log \eta_{g,h}(z)$, J. Number Theory **99** (2003), 338-360.
18. Y. Simsek, *q-Dedekind type sums related to q-zeta function and basic L-series*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **318** (2006), 333-351.
19. H. Ozden, Y. Simsek, S.-H. Rim, I. N. Cangul, *On interpolation functions of the twisted generalized Frobenius-Euler numbers*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **15** (2007), 187-194.
20. H. Ozden, Y. Simsek, I. N. Cangul, *Multivariate interpolation functions of higher-order q-Euler numbers and their applications*, Abstract and Applied Analysis **2008** (2008), Art. ID 390857, 16 pages.

21. H. Ozden, Y. Simsek, I. N. Cangul, *Euler polynomials associated with p-adic q-Euler measure*, General Mathematics **15** (2007), 24-37.

22. S. H. Rim, K. H. Park, E. J. Moon, *On Genocchi numbers and polynomials*, Abstract and Applied Mathematics **2008, Article ID 898471** (2008), 7 pages.

23. Y. Simsek, *Generating functions of the twisted Bernoulli numbers and polynomials associated with their interpolation functions*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **16** (2008), 251-278.

24. Y. Simsek, Y. Osman, V. Kurt, *On interpolation functions of the twisted generalized Frobenius-Euler numbers*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **15** (2007), 187-194.

25. Y. Simsek, *Hardy character sums related to Eisenstein series and theta functions*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **12** (2006), 39-53.

26. Y. Simsek, *Remarks on reciprocity laws of the Dedekind and Hardy sums*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **12** (2006), 237-246.

27. Y. Simsek, *Theorems on twisted L-function and twisted Bernoulli numbers*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **11** (2005), 205-218.

28. Y. Simsek, A. Mehmet, *Remarks on Dedekind eta function, theta functions and Eisenstein series under the Hecke operators*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **10** (2005), 15-24.

29. Y. Simsek, Y. Sheldon, *Transformation of four Titchmarsh-type infinite integrals and generalized Dedekind sums associated with Lambert series*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **9** (2004), 195-202.

30. Y. Simsek, *On p-adic twisted q-L-functions related to generalized twisted Bernoulli numbers*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **13** (2006), 340-348.

31. Y. Simsek, *q-Hardy-Berndt type sums associated with q-Genocchi type zeta and q-l-functions*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications **Article in press** (2008).